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ABSTRACT

More than 20% of global economic activity occurs as projects nowadays, and effective project management may generate an essential competitive advantage for companies. With the increasing complexity of projects across various industries, project management has become a critical skill set for organizations. This paper presents a literature review of simulation games for teaching project management, focusing on project managers’ competencies. The authors use systematic and classical literature reviews, analyzing current research areas of simulation games adoption for project management, learning goals and skills, and assessment models. The literature review findings are then compared to the competency model of the IPMA and analyzed for similarities and differences. The paper’s conclusions suggest the need for further development in the area of project manager competency games, assessment models, and simulation gaming, providing opportunities for adoption and usability.

INTRODUCTION

Project management is an increasingly important specialty these days. At present, more than 20% of global economic activity takes place as projects (de Rezende, 2019) (Gemunden, 2013), and in some emerging economies, it exceeds 30% (Bredillet, 2010). Moreover, the ‘projectification’ of organizational work is today observed in almost every industry (Kuura, 2020) (Bakker, 2010) (Sydow, 2004), and organizations increasingly use projects to achieve their strategic objectives (Morris, 2004).

Effective project management helps organizations improve efficiency and reduce waste, resulting in cost savings and improved profitability (Kaufmann, 2022). Organizations skilled in project management can develop a competitive advantage by delivering projects more efficiently and effectively than their competitors (Milosevic, 2003).

With the increasing complexity of projects in various industries (de Rezende, 2019), project management has become a critical skill set for organizations (Maylor, 2017) to complete projects on time, within budget, and with the desired level of quality. There are numerous reasons why project management has become an important profession. In industries such as construction, engineering, IT, and healthcare, professionals need to manage these projects effectively. Project management professionals should be trained to plan, organize, and execute projects in a way that ensures their success (Herath, 2021). They should be skilled in identifying and managing risks (Rumeser, 2019), project design, human resource management, communicating with stakeholders, and ensuring project management efficiency. Moreover, they should be skilled in identifying and understanding customer needs and translating those needs into project requirements to ensure that projects meet customer expectations and result in satisfied customers (Chipulu, 2013).

Therefore, assessing project manager competencies is a complex and challenging task, but on the other hand, it is a critical project success factor. Project manager competencies can be assessed through various methods, i.e., performance reviews, behavioral interviews, 360-degree feedback, certifications, or serious games. Serious games are typically created to teach or develop specific skills, knowledge, or behaviors and can be used in various contexts, including education, training, personal development, and competency assessment. Assessment through serious games can be done in various ways, such as scoring a player’s performance, evaluating their decision-making skills, measuring their ability to work collaboratively, or testing their ability to solve complex problems. Serious games can also provide a more comprehensive and realistic assessment of competencies than traditional assessment methods, such as exams or written assignments. This is because they allow learners to apply their knowledge and skills in a simulated environment resembling real-life situations (Kriz, 2022).

Serious games recently emerged as a promising new method for teaching and learning project management (Rumeser, 2018). A considerable body of literature illustrates the benefits of games and gamification for competency assessment purposes (Chen, 2008), but the term “project management competency assessment serious game” is surprisingly rare. This paper aims to review existing project management serious games that assess project manager competencies. The research question of this paper is: Are there any serious games that formally assess project manager competencies? The structure of the paper is as
follows. Section 2 presents the project manager competency models and their formal certification procedures. Section 3 describes the methodology of the research. Section 4 introduces a classical literature review on serious games and competency assessment. Section 5 presents conclusions and future work based on the findings obtained.

FORMAL ASSESSMENT OF PROJECT MANAGER COMPETENCIES

The need for project manager competence assessment is well documented (Soroka-Potrzebna, 2021; Kaklauskas, 2010). The project manager’s competence is an important factor in successfully delivering projects (Patanakul, 2009; Stevenson, 2010). Competency frameworks and standards are developed for one specific reason: to assess, develop, and reassess the competencies of project managers. This process should be continuous, and project managers should embrace it to improve their competencies (Marnewick, 2016). Over the past years, several project manager competency models have been developed to define, and some of them formally assess, the knowledge, skills, and abilities required for success in project management (Taket, 2015; Chen, 2008).

Crawford (2005) proposes three project manager classifications: input competencies, which refer to the knowledge and skills that a project manager brings to a job; personal competencies, which are the core attributes underlying a project manager’s capability to execute a job; and output competencies that relate to the ‘demonstrable’ performance that a project manager exhibits within the work environment. Rose (2007) gathered project management competencies in a particular company using semi-structured interviews with project managers to analyze the required competencies in project situations. The study identified seven competencies: technical, process, time, client, business, personal, and uncertainty management. Project manager competencies could be deployed into knowledge, skills, and abilities (Ahsan, 2013). Bredin (2013) outlines two archetypes of project manager career models: the competence strategy model and the talent management model. Those are only a few examples of numerous effort project manager competency classification model creation efforts that did not evolve into the formalized competency assessment process. This gap is filled by professional associations or organizations that gather experience from the best specialists. Based on their basis, methods of conduct and training for future project managers are developed. There are many specialized project management methodologies, such as PMBoK® Guide, PRINCE2®, PCM, TenStep, HERMES, and others described in the literature (Trocki, 2013, 2017; Svejvig, 2015; Morris, 2013) but only a few of them include project manager competency models (Eskerod, 2013). Similarly to Bredillet (2015), in this research, we have selected three standards that have been published by long-established bodies or/and account for a large number of credentialed project managers - Global Alliance for Project Performance Standards (GAPPS), Project Management Institute (PMI) and International Project Management Association (IPMA).

The Competency Baseline for Project, Program, and Portfolio Management (CBP) is a standard developed by the GAPPS that outlines the competencies required for successful project, program, and portfolio management (Global Alliance for Project Performance Standards, 2007). The CBP identifies 46 competencies across three levels of management: project management, program management, and portfolio management. These competencies are grouped into five Units of Competency focused on project processes: management of relationships with stakeholders, development of the plan for the project, or project progress management. Unlike IPMA or PMI, the GAPPS is a volunteer organization working to create such frameworks and standards by providing a forum for stakeholders from differing systems, backgrounds, and operating contexts to work together to address the needs of the global project management community. Therefore, CBP can be freely used by businesses, academic institutions, training providers, professional associations, and government standards and qualifications bodies globally. However, they are not formalized as an official assessment (certification) standard.

The PMI’s Talent Triangle was recently updated (PMBoK Guide, 2021) but remains a framework that outlines the three key areas of competencies required for success in project management: ways of working (formerly technical project management), power skills (formerly leadership), and business acumen (formerly strategic and business management). The detailed framework for defining, assessing, and developing project manager competence is described in the Project Manager Competency Development (PMCD) Framework (2017) and is consistent with the Talent Triangle. The PMCD Framework structure represents a typical competency standard. It identifies:

- Units of Competence: Each unit of Competence in this Chapter of the PMCD Framework corresponds to one of the five Project Management Process Groups of Initiating, Planning, Executing, Controlling, and Closing.
- Elements of Competence. Each Unit of Competence consists of several Elements reflecting the activities project managers are expected to experience.
- Performance Criteria. Each Element is described by Performance Criteria, which specify the outcomes to be achieved to demonstrate competent performance.
- Types of Evidence are associated with each of the Performance Criteria. These form the basis upon which competence can be self-assessed.
PMCD framework describes competence as consisting of three separate dimensions:

- project management knowledge competence—what the project manager knows about project management,
- project management performance competence—what the project manager can do or accomplish while applying project management knowledge,
- personal competency—how the project manager behaves when performing the project or activity.

Even though PMI’s project manager certification system is one of the most reputable and recognized worldwide, it is important to highlight that it is not based on the PMCD Framework but on PMBoK® Guide mastery. Furthermore, the certification requires a defined professional experience, depending on the applicant’s academic credentials. Therefore, the PMCD Framework is used by PMI as a reference guide for project managers in their professional development, not as a formalized project manager competency assessment standard.

The IPMA Competence Baseline (ICB) does not discuss competencies in terms of specific roles (e.g., project manager) but rather in terms of the domain (e.g., individuals working in project management). The rationale is that roles and role titles vary greatly by language, industry, and focus. Therefore, the ICB presents competencies important for project, program, and portfolio management. Each domain may contain roles and titles that fit the overall competence domain. ICB is organized into three areas: technical competencies, behavioral competencies, and contextual competencies (IPMA, 2015).

According to ICB, people competencies are personal and interpersonal competencies required to successfully participate in or lead a project, program, or portfolio. Practice competencies are the specific methods, tools, and techniques used in projects, programs, and portfolios to realize their success. Perspective competencies are methods, tools, and techniques through which individuals interact with the environment and the rationale that leads people, organizations, and societies to start and support projects, programs, and portfolios. Within each competence area, generic competence elements (CE) apply to all domains. CEs contain lists of the pieces of knowledge and skills required to master the CE. Key competence indicators (KCI) provide the definitive indicators of successful project, program, and portfolio management for two or all three domains. Measures exist that describe highly detailed performance points in each KCI.

Competence in the project domain is broken into 28 CE with one to many KCIs each. (See Table 1 on page *****)

To assess the project manager's competency, IPMA developed the IPMA Four Level Certification (IPMA 4-L-C), where a candidate's competence level is evaluated by assessors trained in the IPMA certification assessment process. The project manager assessment process may vary depending on the certification level and National Certification Body regulations. Our research will use Polish regulations and procedures (IPMA Polska, 2023). At level D, designed for individuals new to project management or with limited experience, the certification process involves completing a written exam and a self-assessment, which evaluates the individual's project management knowledge and skills. Level C is designed for project managers with several years of project management experience, and the certification process involves completing a written exam and
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Level B is designed for senior project managers who have significant project management experience and have managed complex projects. The certification process involves completing a written exam, report, and an assessment center (or individual interview). Level A is designed for individuals with extensive experience managing multiple projects or programs. The certification process involves the presentation of recommendation letters, a written report summarizing project management experience, and an assessment center.

As discussed above, among three selected competency standards, IPMA ICB is the only competency standard that was formalized and operates as an actual project manager assessment (certification) body worldwide. Therefore, further research will use the IPMA Level C certification process as a competency assessment model to seek its utilization in project management for serious games’ design, development, and actual embracement.

METHODOLOGY

The initial research was based on a systematic literature review methodology (Okoli, 2010). Three key phrases were selected: project manager, competencies assessment, and serious games. They were searched for in the most recognized databases, including EBSCO, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science, and SAGE journals – in the time frame of 2000-2023. The initial search revealed 16,064 articles. After the initial title and abstract screening, 51 articles were selected for further investigation. Articles were chosen based on exclusion criteria. The first exclusion criterion was the lack of one of the adopted keywords (project manager, competency assessment, and serious games) because it pointed to the lack of relevance for the subject and indicated other areas of research (e.g., higher education, pedagogy, 21st-century skills, children’s education). Also, metaphorical (or random) uses of keywords were excluded – for instance, "project-oriented," “competent manager," and “Game Theory.” Articles were expected to have been published no earlier than 2000 because the number of serious games in the literature increased exponentially at that time. Repeated game cases described in other articles were excluded as well. The last exclusion criterion was unspecified or non-assessment embeddedness of the game. The next phase consisted of full screening and selection based on inclusion criteria. Only the articles that indirectly referred to the project manager competency model were supposed to be considered. It was important to find cases explicitly addressing project manager assessment considering their characteristics. It was supposed to be verified by checking the goals of the games. Only the cases contributing to the project manager assessment, or its derivatives, would be considered. Finally, the research would not include games without information about game design. None of the reviewed articles fulfilled the selected criteria.

Due to the failure of the systematic literature review methodology findings, the classical literature review methodology was adopted. Firstly, serious games and areas of their applicability were reviewed. Based on the review findings, the education and training simulation games category was selected for further research from the project management competency development perspective. Then, serious gaming and its competency assessment aspect were explored, focusing on adopting the project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area No.</th>
<th>CE No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SimulTrain</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.3.1</td>
<td>Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.2</td>
<td>Governance, structures and processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3</td>
<td>Compliance, standards and regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.4</td>
<td>Power and interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.5</td>
<td>Culture and values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>Self-reflection and self-management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>Personal integrity and reliability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.3</td>
<td>Personal communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.4</td>
<td>Relationship and engagement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.5</td>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.6</td>
<td>Teamwork</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.7</td>
<td>Conflict and crisis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.8</td>
<td>Resourcefulness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.4.9</td>
<td>Negotiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.10</td>
<td>Result orientation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.1</td>
<td>Project design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.2</td>
<td>Requirements and objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.3</td>
<td>Scope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.4</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.5</td>
<td>Organization and information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.6</td>
<td>Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.7</td>
<td>Finance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.8</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.9</td>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.10</td>
<td>Plan and control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.11</td>
<td>Risk and opportunity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.12</td>
<td>Stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.5.13</td>
<td>Change and information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed above, among three selected competency standards, IPMA ICB is the only competency standard that was formalized and operates as an actual project manager assessment (certification) body worldwide. Therefore, further research will use the IPMA Level C certification process as a competency assessment model to seek its utilization in project management for serious games’ design, development, and actual embracement.

METHODOLOGY

The initial research was based on a systematic literature review methodology (Okoli, 2010). Three key phrases were selected: project manager, competencies assessment, and serious games. They were searched for in the most recognized databases, including EBSCO, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Web of Science, and SAGE journals – in the time frame of 2000-2023. The initial search revealed 16,064 articles. After the initial title and abstract screening, 51 articles were selected for further investigation. Articles were chosen based on exclusion criteria. The first exclusion criterion was the lack of one of the adopted keywords (project manager, competency assessment, and serious games) because it pointed to the lack of relevance for the subject and indicated other areas of research (e.g., higher education, pedagogy, 21st-century skills, children’s education). Also, metaphorical (or random) uses of keywords were excluded – for instance, "project-oriented," “competent manager,” and “Game Theory.” Articles were expected to have been published no earlier than 2000 because the number of serious games in the literature increased exponentially at that time. Repeated game cases described in other articles were excluded as well. The last exclusion criterion was unspecified or non-assessment embeddedness of the game. The next phase consisted of full screening and selection based on inclusion criteria. Only the articles that indirectly referred to the project manager competency model were supposed to be considered. It was important to find cases explicitly addressing project manager assessment considering their characteristics. It was supposed to be verified by checking the goals of the games. Only the cases contributing to the project manager assessment, or its derivatives, would be considered. Finally, the research would not include games without information about game design. None of the reviewed articles fulfilled the selected criteria.

Due to the failure of the systematic literature review methodology findings, the classical literature review methodology was adopted. Firstly, serious games and areas of their applicability were reviewed. Based on the review findings, the education and training simulation games category was selected for further research from the project management competency development perspective. Then, serious gaming and its competency assessment aspect were explored, focusing on adopting the project
manager competency model. Finally, examples of project management serious games were presented as a basis for conclusions and findings.

SERIOUS GAMES AND COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT – LITERATURE REVIEW

Serious games applicability

The term "serious game" was defined in 1970 (Abt, 1970). However, it referred to board games rather than video games. Since then, numerous authors have investigated the serious games concept (e.g., Connolly, 2012; Djaouti, 2011; Michael, 2005; Wouters, 2013; Zyda, 2005). Zyda’s definition of a serious game is “a mental contest, played with a computer in accordance with specific rules, that uses entertainment to further government or corporate training, education, health, public policy, and strategic communication objectives” (2005), was extended by Michael and Chen (2005) who added that serious games “do not have entertainment, enjoyment, or fun as their primary purpose” to emphasize that serious games are designed for purposes beyond entertainment. However, for the project management environment simulation, a perspective of the usage of game artifacts (form) to simulate (function) the system processes of a complex (real) system is an intriguing one (Duke, 1974). Kriz (2022) defines a serious game as “a reconstruct of important aspects of the reference system; therefore, it is an abstract model of reality with less complexity and fewer details.” The major challenge of project management is its complexity and decision-making in a rapidly changing environment. Therefore, the simulation of a simplified reality model lays solid grounds for various applications of serious gaming in project management.

Serious games are typically created to teach or develop specific skills, knowledge, or behaviors and can be used in various contexts, including education, training, and personal development. According to Kriz (2018), gaming simulations can be categorized taking into consideration the following perspectives:

- an analytical science perspective leads to the usage of games and simulations as scenarios to empirically test, justify, and develop theories in specific domains,
- the design perspective emphasizes the usability of simulation games and their objective to promote and evaluate their development and use in a practical context.

Serious games can take many forms, including board games, behavior-oriented role plays, with or without computer-assisted simulation elements, and, more recently, digital and non-digital educational games, game-based learning, and web-based simulation games. According to Kriz (2022), the application of serious games can be categorized as follows:

- education and training simulation games, where they promote knowledge acquisition, the development of skills, competencies, attitudes, and values, and the understanding of complex relationships.
- simulation and game-based policy interventions, where they support the testing and evaluation of alternative strategies and courses of action,
- gaming simulation as a core method for designing complex sociotechnical systems, where gaming applications may facilitate the (re)design of organizational rules, structures, and performance, workflow processes, and human factors.

From the project manager competency assessment perspective, the most promising is the applicability of education and training, as learning can significantly influence personal competencies. Moreover, the facilitation of understanding complex relationships is of key importance for the project management area.

Serious games and learning

Definitions of learning differ enormously in psychology, neuroscience, behavioral ecology, evolutionary theory, and computer science, as well as in many other disciplines, and new definitions continue to be proposed (Barron, 2015). Regarding the discussion of learning in games, Kolb’s model of Experiential Learning (ELC) is most frequently referenced (Kolb, 1984). In this model, learning optimally is a cyclic, four-step process of Concrete Experience, Reflective Observation, Abstract Conceptualization, and Active Experimentation. In serious games gaming, participants are offered an environment close to reality, where they can experience situations and make decisions without risking trouble in real life (Kriz, 2022). In Kolb’s model, the learning subject should reflect on and “work with” his/her experience. The typical structure of serious games reinforces not only specific experiences but also the realization of other ELC steps. Breaks for observation and conceptualization before continuing with experimentation are advised. The very important debriefing is represented in the ELC (Kriz, 2022, Schwagele, 2014). Research has shown that serious games can be an effective tool for learning, as they engage learners in active and experiential learning (Dekanter, 2005) (Karney, 2007), which can enhance knowledge retention and transfer. Unlike passive knowledge transfer, learners are drawn into an active, experience-based learning environment.
Serious games as an assessment tool

As serious games are designed to simulate real-life scenarios, they can be used to assess competencies (Kriz, 2022). There are numerous definitions of the term "competence" (e.g., Boyatzis, 2008; Hoffman, 1999), and it has not been clearly defined in the literature (Robotham, 1996). In this research project, individual competence is the application of knowledge, skills, and abilities to achieve the desired results (IPMA, 2015). Consequently, competency assessment is understood as an assessment of the learner's ability to do so in practical situations. Therefore, as mentioned earlier, a safe and controlled environment that allows practicing and applying professional skills is another positive aspect of serious games’ applicability to project manager competency assessment.

Assessment through serious games can be done in various ways, such as scoring a player’s performance, evaluating their decision-making skills, measuring their ability to work collaboratively, or testing their ability to solve complex problems. Serious games can also provide a more comprehensive and realistic assessment of competencies than traditional assessment methods, such as exams or written assignments. This is because they allow learners to apply their knowledge and skills in a simulated environment resembling real-life situations (Kriz, 2022). Furthermore, serious games can provide immediate feedback to learners, allowing them to understand their mistakes and correct them in real-time, enhancing their learning and performance (Kriz, 2022). Considering that an assessment center is an inherent component of the IPMA 4-L-C system based on immediate feedback from project management senior experts, this quality of serious games can be seen as a significant asset.

Serious games often use various competency models to design and evaluate learning outcomes. Using a competency model as a guide, serious game designers can ensure that the game content and scenarios align with the specific competencies needed to develop. This can help to make the game more effective at achieving its learning objectives. Competency models in serious games can vary widely depending on the specific game and its intended purpose. Therefore, there are not only numerous competency models used in serious games across different domains but also, a single game may include several competency models. For example, one of the most popular Microsoft games, Flight Simulator (2023), is, on one hand, based on the Dreyfus Model of Skill Acquisition (Dreyfus, 1980) and, at the same time, incorporates International Air Transport Association (IATA) pilots’ competencies model and assessment framework (Competency Assessment and Evaluation for Pilots, Instructors and Evaluators, 2023). Another worldwide recognized serious game, World Climate Simulation, includes several theoretical models, including competency ones, such as Hersey and Blanchard’s Situational Leadership Model (Hersey, 1969), Collaborative Leadership (Gumus, 2018), interest-based negotiation model developed by Fisher and Ury (1981) and their numerous followers as well as the collaborative problem-solving model initiated by Dewey (1933) and then refined by several scholars and practitioners over several decades.

Serious games can also be used to assess competencies based on the same competency model that was used to design the game. For example, a game designed to develop leadership competencies might use a competency model that includes communication, decision-making, and team-building skills. The game could then assess the player’s performance in these areas to provide feedback and track their progress. Using a competency model in serious game design and assessment can help to ensure that the game is focused on the most relevant and important skills and knowledge for a given role or situation. It can also help to provide a clear and consistent framework for measuring and evaluating competency development. A growing number of serious games are used to certify competencies in various fields formally. Flight simulators train and certify pilots in a safe, controlled environment. These simulators can replicate various conditions and emergencies that pilots may encounter in the real world, allowing them to demonstrate their knowledge and skills in a controlled setting. Boeing 737-full flight (Boeing
Project management, serious games, and competencies assessment

The main purpose of the research was to review the literature, investigate the application of serious games in project management, and analyze their utilization for the project manager competency assessment. The stated research question was: Are there any serious games that formally assess project manager competencies? The identification and selection of games were the subject of several stages. From January 2023 to May 2023, intensive research was systematically performed using multiple sources, i.e., research papers, international gaming awards, and contacts with experts, to identify the project management games available. This investigation led to the identification of over thirty serious games that were relevant to the scope of research, i.e., Construction Project Management Game (Hassan, 2021), GRAPM (Miler, 2016), SiMSE (Navarro, 2004), DELIVER! (von Wangenheim, 2012), PMQUIZ (Petri, 2016) and others. However, a selection was required to select the games that were suitable for the scope of the research. The following criteria were followed to undertake this selection:

- the game should be available and used for project management training,
- adequate and publicly accessible documentation should be available to evaluate the game’s applicability to the competency assessment process,
- the serious game scoring system should assess the player in as many CEs as possible from IPMA’s project manager competency assessment model.

As a result, two games were selected. The first is SimulTrain (2023), developed by STS Sauter Training & Simulation SA (STS). SimulTrain is a project management simulation that allows participants to manage a virtual project from start to finish, and its general objective is to train in three fundamental areas divided into various learning points. The first one is project leadership, where participants learn to lead a project in its entirety, which includes dealing with the project’s stakeholders, the project’s functions complexity, and priorities management. The second one is project management, where participants learn to manage a project on a day-to-day basis by making the decisions required by a project manager, such as updating, planning, checking progress, managing quality, and organizing project reviews. They also learn how to make decisions as a team. They have to make decisions requiring them to negotiate cost, deadline, quality, and motivation goals, as well as how to manage conflict within the team and to take the views of each participant into account. The last one, resource management, is designed to teach how to plan the involvement of resources for maximum efficiency. There are eleven simulated project manager functions, such as project planning, budgeting, risk management, decision-making, and project controlling. SimulTrain is primarily used with classroom activities but can be played online. Training is conducted with teams of four participants where learners play the role of the project manager. The teams must plan and execute a typical mid-sized project in two or three sessions of 3–4 hours each. The teams are confronted with many situations requiring quick decisions while considering all project parameters (cost, deadlines, quality, and human factors). The teams are scored against five parameters: cost, schedule, quality, motivation, and risk. The trainer can adjust the weight of each parameter to accomplish various learning objectives, i.e., players’ focus on project cost management. The SimulTrain is used in training for project managers, project participants, top management, managers and their reports, and students of business schools and technical universities. The game is available in more than 22 languages, and there are up to 10 scenarios, reflecting project simulations in different areas. According to STS, the simulator is used in more than 51 countries and has contributed to the training of more than 200,000 project managers (About STS, 2023).

The second one is "Project Management" (PM), developed by REVAS (Games, 2023). Similar to SimulTrain, it is a simulation that allows participants to manage a virtual project from start to finish, and its main objective is to train in three fundamental areas: project leadership, operational management, and resource management. Players can play individually or in small (three to four people) teams. The game scenario starts with the endeavor orientation session, where project objectives and stakeholders are identified, and the company’s organizational structure, budgets, and resources are reviewed. In the second part, players are expected to develop the project plan, including the project’s schedule, budget, resource allocation, and risk management plan. The third phase focuses on project execution with several rounds, each representing one calendar month. To simulate real-life project challenges, unexpected events are introduced, such as late materials delivery, conflict with
stakeholders, or project team members getting sick. During the game, players score against eight key competence areas presented in Figure 3.

![Image of a player's profile](FIGURE 2 “Project Management” player’s profile (Games, 2023))

The PM game is available in three languages (Polish, English, and Ukrainian). It has four scenarios: new product introduction, research lab launching, training course organization, and implementation of the project management approach in the organization. REVAS game is used primarily in training for business audiences and students at higher levels of education in Poland.

Based on reviewed documentation, the two first research criteria were fulfilled as both games present a similar approach to game design. They create a virtual yet simplified project management reality in various environments and cover the project life cycle from initiation to closure. This characteristic lays solid grounds for numerous competency assessment measures. They are accessible online and used to train professionals or students, making them practical examples of the serious game assessing project manager competencies. Analyzing the available descriptions of player scoring systems in both games brought
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Based on reviewed documentation, the two first research criteria were fulfilled as both games present a similar approach to game design. They create a virtual yet simplified project management reality in various environments and cover the project life cycle from initiation to closure. This characteristic lays solid grounds for numerous competency assessment measures. They are accessible online and used to train professionals or students, making them practical examples of the serious game assessing project manager competencies. Analyzing the available descriptions of player scoring systems in both games brought
interesting findings. Out of the twenty-eight IPMA CEIs, only five are scored in the case of Simul Train and eight in PM simulation. The scoring systems review results are presented in Table 2.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

This study suffers from many limitations. Its sample was limited by period, online availability, search engines, and language (English). The authors are aware that there are significantly more articles and papers published in the area of concern and that the selection is limited due to the methods applied and other constraints. The authors’ future efforts will aim to further broaden and study the underlying design methodologies, narratives for serious game design, and project management competency assessment methods in more detail. The planned future work aims to extend the classifications of project management serious games usage and look for opportunities to create a model of the project manager competency assessment serious game to improve the project manager education and assessment process.

The findings of this research confirm that serious games could be used not only to train but also to assess (certify) formally project managers’ competencies, understood as an assessment of a project manager’s ability to apply knowledge, skills, and abilities in practical situations. Firstly, they are designed to simulate real-life scenarios. Therefore, assessing competencies is more realistic and comprehensive as project managers apply their knowledge and skills in a simulated environment resembling real-life situations. Secondly, serious games may simplify the major challenge of real-world project management – their complexity, as serious games are an abstract model of reality with less complexity and fewer details. Thirdly, serious games can provide immediate feedback to project managers from senior project management experts, allowing them to understand their mistakes and correct them in real-time. At the same time, management senior experts’ observations and performance evaluation can also perform the project manager assessment center role. However, the analysis of the two selected project management serious games depicts the current deficiencies of game-based project manager competencies assessment compared to the IPMA Level C assessment process. The first one is that only a few of twenty-eight project manager competencies are assessed, which results in a very selective assessment of a minority of them. Additionally, as game scenarios focus on project life cycle management, their scoring systems reflect the project operational management mastery level, not the overall project manager competencies assessment. Moreover, although the briefing sessions are foreseen in game scenarios, their ultimate objective is reflection or discussion of activities performed, not a formal assessment of project management competencies in an interview or assessment center. Such a situation creates a research opportunity through the identified research gap in finding the balance between game design, the IPMA competence model, and simulation games as an assessment tool. It also creates the challenge of marrying the diverging angles of these competing research areas.
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