

DEVELOPMENT OF MANAGEMENT SKILL ASSESSMENT

Edward M. Pogossian. Academy of Sciences and American University of Armenia

ABSTRACT

The problem of management skill assessment by games is analyzed in the frame of a suggested model to explain some recent ‘strange’ experimental results.

MANAGEMENT SKILL ASSESSMENT
BY GAMES

1. In the problem of **Management Skill Assessment by Games (MSAG)** given a business game G and some competitors C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m competing in G it is required to select a criterion K and competition assessing methodology M such that ordering of the C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m in accordance with (K, M) would be isomorphic to the ordering with respect to the ‘Management Skill Assessment Standard Methodology’.

2. To advance in solving the MSAG problem it is necessary to interpret and explain some concepts. *First*, what does ‘Management Skill Assessment Standard Methodology’ mean? In general, we do not know the answer. The current state of that important question and ways for its investigation are outlined in [Anderson, Lawton-97]. Until its complete resolution we, like many others, are replacing that methodology by common recommendations of MBA colleges. For our purpose it is enough to suppose that there exists such standard methodology to interpret its syntax in our model.

Second, a competitor C competes in accordance with one of available control programs which determine possible ways of its behavior. We suppose that during the competition each competitor selects one of the programs and keeps

it unchanged. For that reason a competitor in each competition is identified by its current program.

Third, competitors perform allowed actions, or make moves, from corresponding sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m simultaneously step by step in T periods. We refer to a vector of such actions as a bundle of actions. Bundles of actions transform the initial situation S into sequences of new situations.

A tree of all sequential bundles of actions of competitors from initial situation S in T periods we name *S-game tree*, or *S-tree*.

In fact *S-tree* is the sum of performance trees of the competitors' programs starting from S . The whole performance of the programs may be described by the forest of such trees from different initial situations. To avoid technical complications in definitions later on we suppose to have only one initial situation.

We name the performance of a competitor C i.e. representation of its program, in *S-tree* as a complete *S-strategy of C*.

As a graph a complete *S-strategy of C* is a subtree of the *S-tree* where the actions of C are indicated for all possible situations emerged from S .

Any subtree of a complete *S-strategy of C* with root situation P we name *P-strategy of C*. We also use terms a *strategy of C*, a *strategy*, etc.

Forth, at the MSAG problem level of approximation we perceive each manager as a unchanged program representing him during the competition. As a consequence of that supposition we must accept that the management skill assessment problem is reduced to the evaluation of the performance of the corresponding program.

Because the performance tree of a competitive program C in a *S-tree* is a *S-strategy* the *Skill*

Assessment Standard Methodology may be interpreted as some criterion that is tested on a given S-strategy to evaluate its quality. Let us denote that criterion as SASM and *suggest* that its value is determined by terminal nodes of S-strategies. For example, it may be the average profit gained by S-strategy and calculated by averaging the value of the profit for all of its terminal nodes. *Thus, if we measure SASM for each S-strategy of the C₁, C₂,..., C_m and order them correspondingly we will get 'the ideal', or 'desirable', ordering of managers in accordance with their skills, or SASM-ordering.*

3. How could we get the ideal SASM-ordering of competitors using SASM criterion and business games tournament approach of assessment? Given criterion K and methodology M we play a series of games from Initial situations to order competitors C₁, C₂, ..., C_m in accordance with their performances. Since any game from initial situation S corresponds to appropriate terminal nodes in Strategies of C₁, C₂, ..., C_m, the series of games will be represented by corresponding sets of terminal nodes of these strategies.

Thus, in order to obtain SASM-ordering all nodes of all strategies must be estimated. It is possible as a result of robin-round tournament where each competitor must meet with all possible (m-1) bundles of all possible strategies of (m-1) competitors. The results of such tournament may be represented by (m, N) matrix where N is equal to the number of all (m-1) combinations of strategies of the sets of possible strategies of competitors.

It is evident that *even for moderate values of the above parameters that estimation is a computationally difficult problem.*

4. Let us see how we actually order managers (players) in games like MARKSTRAT2. When we try to order C₁, C₂, ..., C_m in accordance with series of their game performances we actually try to approximate the ideal ordering of the strategies based on the above complete analysis of their

terminal nodes by some partial analysis. Even in the case of using SASM criterion we may obtain different orderings of the strategies due to the insufficient amount of analyzed terminal nodes.

Thus, it becomes evident that we have to substantiate the acceptance of our approximation and estimate the level of its correctness.

One of the reasons why experiments in [Anderson, Lawton, ABSEL-97] did not reveal any positive correlation between standard management skill assessment criteria and the ones used in business simulation may be the absence of the estimation of the necessary set of games of participants to obtain acceptable approximation.

5. Since SASM-ordering of competitors based on their game performances, or tournaments, is a computationally hard problem, the question of appropriate constraints becomes actual to reduce the complexity.

For a symmetric problem of the evaluation of the effectiveness of management strategies in [Pogossian, ABSEL- 97] the criterion of knowledge based "essentially improvable" strategies was formulated allowing to advance in the efficient evaluation of strategies. The criterion may be applied to games like Value War [Chussil, Reibstein, 94] where strategy search simulation is used to predict results of oligopoly competition for consultancy purposes.

Because that criterion seems natural and acceptable for managers, we study its application for management skill assessment purpose.

**Reference available upon request:
epogosasi@aua.am**