ABSEL: EMPIRICAL FINDINGS ON THE STATE OF THE ASSOCIATION

James W. Gentry Oklahoma State University Joseph Wolfe, University of Tulsa

ABSTRACT

A re-examination of ABSEL members' opinions and attitudes about various topics of concern to the Association was undertaken with a more complete data base. ABSEL scored very high regarding the supportive and open atmosphere off its Conferences and the currency of Its teaching techniques while it scored lower in the areas of research quality. Other conclusions were drawn regarding programs for spouses, the use of practitioners, and sites and meeting dates for future conferences, as well as the sources of opinion divergencies existing within the Association.

INTRODUCTION

As befits any professional group, ABFEL has demonstrated long-term interest in improvement, self-evaluation and criticism. These Interests have most recently been evidenced in Goosen's [1] 1977 paper and program sessions in 1979 and 1980 on the future of ABSEL. This paper, which is the result of a charge given to the two newly-elected Directors-at-Large, reports the findings a larger data-based survey of ABSEL's 1980 Conference attendees to determine certain views held by its membership.

METHODOLOGY

This study used a survey questionnaire designed by Duane Hoover with the help of ABSEL's National Advisory Board and other officers and remembers of the Association. Hoover had attempted census of the members attending the 1980 Conference but only 44 of the 97 attendees completed the questionnaire. Given a desire to poll the entire membership, list of non-attending members was compiled by the Associations Executive Director, David Fritzsche, and another list of non-responding conference attendees was created by comparing the attendance list with the addresses given on the completed questionnaires already collected. A summary of the response rates for the three different groups, attendees, non-responding attendees, and non-attendees is presented In Table 1. An overall response rate of approximately 67.5% was obtained from ABSEL's 163 member record for 1978-1980.

TABLE 1
PESPONSE GATES FOR THE THREE ABSEL GROUPS SURVEYED

Стоин	Approximate Eumber in Group	Number of Responses	Response Rate
Conference Attendees	97	44	45.47
Non-responding Attendees	53	33	62.3
Non-attendees	66	33	50.0
Total Membership	163	110	67.5%

A copy of the questionnaire is not included here but the

nature and phraseology of the questions can he deduced from the items in Table 2 - 6. The instrument covered ABSEL's image, meeting dates and sites, future actions, and demographic characteristics. Our paper will follow that format.

IMAGE FINDINGS

The questionnaire's first section dealt with the Association's image and the atmosphere of it's annual Conference. The results are shown in Table 2. ABSEL rates very high with more than 80% responding "very good" or "good on the following dimensions: enthusiasm, supportive atmosphere, and currency of teaching techniques.

TABLE 2 ABSEL's IMAGE

Criterion	Favorability Score ^a	Non- Response Rate
Ouality of Research	52.4	5.5%
Enthusiasm	82.8	4.5
Sunnortive Atmosphere	82.0	6.4
Time of Vear	58.0	4.5
Presentation Ouality	61.4	5.5
Open Discussions	73.2	8.2
Currency of Teaching Technology	74.1	5.5
Paper Review Process	62.6	10.0
Demonstrations	50.7	16.4
Image	46.6	14.5
Program for Spouses	24.1	45.5
Proceedings	71.7	8.2
Inclusion of Practitions	rs 38.9	15.5
Interdisciplinary Nature	67.6	9.1
Continuity of Pesearch Efforts	50.7	10.9
JELS.	60.7	18.2
The "ABSEL style"	70.9	14.5
Memhership Promotion to Date	43.3	10.0

"Mery Cood = 100; "er" Bad = 0

More than 70% of the respondents rated ABSEL "very good' or good' on the dimensions of open discussions, proceedings, interdisciplinary nature, and the 'ABSEL style. On the other hand, those dimensions receiving less than 50% ratings of "very good' or 'good' were programs for spouses, membership promotion, image, in.-

elusion of practitioners, quality of research, continuity of research efforts, and demonstrations. These poorly-rated dimensions were often associated with relatively high non-response rates which could reflect either the lack of an opinion, an unwillingness to cast a negative response, or a lack of understanding of the question.

Summarizing this section's results, it appears that AESEL is doing sane things very well and has problems in other areas. The strong teaching orientation and the informal and supportive Conference atmosphere are strong virtues which need to be maintained, while simultaneously, the academic aspects, such as research quality and its continuity, and the quality of research presentations, need to be improved. It would seem that membership promotion, programs for spouses, and demonstrations could be enhanced without affecting ABSEL'S pedagogical orientation or its supportive atmosphere. On the other hand, improving research quality, and consequently ABSEL's image, may conflict with Association's strengths by interjecting a harsh and judgmental element into its meetings and paper review process. Basically supportive steps should be taken to improve research quality and measures such as 'best paper awards and JELS. As a subscription to this journal is included in the Association's membership dues a serious problem exists either with the journal's subscription list or the membership's desire to stay current with the literature. Approximately 18% of the respondents failed to rate the Journal and another 9% rated it neutrally.

TIMING OF ABSEL MEETINGS

Approximately 51% of the respondents rated the time of year of ABSEL meetings as "very good" or "good," while only 19% rated it 'bad' or "very bad.' Results of other questions dealing with the timing of ABSEL meetings are shown in Table 3. Only 8% of the respondents agreed that spring meetings might prevent their at tending future AESEL meetings, while 77% disagreed. These results offer strong support for holding AESEL meetings in the spring semester

Other problems are evident, however, as 45% of the respondents agreed that travel money tends to run out by AESEL time. Moving to a fall meeting might not solve these problems though, as 47% disagreed (compared to 35% that agreed) that travel money would be easier to acquire in the fall. One problem associated with a spring Conference is that papers must be submitted early in the fall semester, which does not provide a semester between the Conference and the due date for the conduct of classroom research. This problem was referred to as a "summer slump" in the questionnaire. The number of respondents who agreed that they experienced a summer slump (32%) was very close to the number that disagreed (33%).

The questionnaire also examined the best months for the annual meeting. If the meeting was held in the spring the months of February, March and April appear to be equally popular. October and November were the most popular choices for a fall Conference.

MEETING SITE PREFERENCES

The respondents were asked which part of the country they preferred for a meeting site, which cities they preferred, and whether they preferred a college town or a convention city. The results are shown in Table 4. More than 40% of the respondents indicated that they preferred conference sites in the Southeast, Rockies, West Coast, or Southwest areas.

After eliminating cities recently used by AESEL for its meetings, San Francisco, Las Vegas, Atlanta, Boston, San Diego, Miami and Phoenix were the cities most frequently chosen. A larger percentage (58%) rated convention cities favor- ably, but a substantial percentage (46%) also rated college towns "very good" and "good."

TABLE 3 TIMING OF ABSEL MEETINGS

Que	estion	Agreement Score ^a	Percent Non- Response
	, the travel is to run out ime.	48. 6 %	4.5%
of getting	e a better chance travel money ing was in the		3.6
	tinues to meet ing, I will be attend.	18.2	2.7
year by ge for papers the time t	a "swmmer th ABSEL every etting the call at/or before that I am into		
academic ; and work.	roduccivicy	42.5	12.7
and work.	ides to retain	its "spring	" meeting
If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled	cides to retain h, which month sh	its "spring	" meeting
If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled	cides to retain h which month sh	its "spring	" meeting
and work. If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled January February March	cides to retain h, which month sh	its "spring	" meeting
and work. If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled January February	cides to retain h which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4	its "spring	" meeting
and work. If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled January February March	n, which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4 2.9	its "spring	" meeting
and work. If ABSEL decopolicy, in scheduled January February March April	cides to retain h which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4	its "spring	" meeting
and work. If ABSEL dec policy, ir scheduled. Januarv February March April Mav June If ABSEL dec	n, which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4 2.9	its "spring ould the me	" meeting be eting be
and work. If ABSEL dec policy, in scheduled! Januarv February March April Mav June If ABSEL dec in which recognitions are provided to the second s	cides to retain by which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4 2.9 1.5 cides to move to nonth should the	its "spring ould the me	" meeting meting be
and work. If ABSEL decorpolicy, in scheduled. January February March April May June If ABSEL decin which a August	cides to retain by which month sh 1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4 2.9 1.5 cides to move to nonth should the	its "spring ould the me	" meeting meting be
and work. If ABSEL decorpolicy, in scheduled January February March April May June If ABSEL decin which you have the september Septemb	1.4% 26.4 27.9 29.4 2.9 1.5 cides to move to month should the	its "spring ould the me	" meeting be eting be

^aAgree = 100; Disagree = 0 ^bOuestion not asked of Conference Attendees

FUTURE ACTIONS BY ABSEL

This section of the questionnaire asked the respondents to evaluate several future actions which could be taken by ABSEL. These results are shown in Table 5. The question of whether ABSEL should affiliate with a larger organization was answered with a resounding "No." 68% agreed that AESEL should stay independent and 59% disagreed that AESEL should affiliate. If ABSEL did decide to affiliate, the consensus was to affiliate with AIDS or the Academy of Management. A strong interest was also expressed in ABSEL sponsoring a national collegiate gaming competition. The proposed 'mini-workshop similar to the OB Teaching Conference' was also supported, although

TABLE 4
MEETING SITE PREFERENCES²

	Ceneral A	rea	
Southeast	10.4	Nb .	20.1
Rockies	43.6	Northeast	29.1
West Coast	41.8	Big 10 Area	28.2
Southwest	40.0	Plains	17.3
	City Choic		
San Francisco	44.5	Kansas City	21.8
Denver	43.6	Chicago Memphis	20.9
New Orleans	42.7	Austin	
Las Vegas	41.8	New York City	20.0
Atlanta	38.2	Cincinnati Portland	19.1
Boston San Diego	30.9	Tulsa	17.3
Miami		Atlantic City	15.5
Phoenix	30.0	Louisville	14.5
Los Angeles Washington D.(2.29.1	Philadelphia Minneapolis	12.7
Houston Montreal		Little Rock	10.9
San Antonio	27.3	Pittsburgh	10.0
Orlando	27.2	Milwaukee	9.1
St. Louis	26.4	Indianapolis	
Reno	24.5	Wichita	6.4
Seattle	23.6	Baltimore Cleveland	5.5
		Detroit	.9
City Type	Favorability Score	Percent N Respons	
College Town	53.7	8.27	
Convention Ci	tv 62.7	9.1	

Expressed as a percent of people selecting area.

the majority of respondents apparently were unfamiliar with the concept.

PROFILE OF ABSEL MEMBERS

Table 6 shows a demographic profile of ABSEL's members. The modal member Is between 30 and 39 years of age₁ is an Associate Professor, and has attended two other conferences in the past year. Approximately 70% of the respondents indicated a strong interest in simulation gaming and in experiential exercises. Approximately 56% of the respondents also expressed strong Interest

in the use of cases.

Ten percent of the respondents had attended all six of ABSEL's previous conferences while another 13% had attended five of the six. About one-fourth of the respondents indicated that they had attended the first conference in Oklahoma City, while 60% had attended the 1979 ABSEL meeting in New Orleans.

TABLE 5 FUTURE ACTIONS BY ABSEL

Question	Agreement Score a	Percent Non- Response
ABSEL should have a "mini-workshop" simil- ar to the OB Teaching Conference	46.6 Z	30.97
ABSEL should stav inde- rendent and hold its own individual meet- ings.	68.6	10.9
ABSEL should affiliate with a larger national or regional meeting.	25.8	12.7
I would like to hear more a discuss ABSEL sponsoring gaming competition.		
Yes 60.9% No 20.4		
With whom should ABSEL aff:	liate?	
National Academy of Manag	rement	32.7 z
National AIDS		34.5
Southern Meetings		24.5
Midwest Meetings Other	_	17.3 10.0

Agree = 100; Disagree = 0

CROSS-TABULATION ANALYSIS

A chi-square analysis was also undertaken on differences in opinion which could be associated with factors such as the respondent's age, academic rank, and meeting attendance. The results reported are all significant p=.05. Given the high number of relationships investigated, the number of significantly different relationships is quite small indicating that ABSEL's membership is quite homogeneous in its opinions.

Dallas attendance, initial non-response and non-attendance. The non-attending members rated the quality of ABSEL presentations and the proceedings lower. As one would expect, the non-attendees were also most likely to indicate that they would not be able to attend a spring conference. Those completing the questionnaire at the conference were the most likely to favor ABSEL affiliating with another organization, while the initial nonrespondents were the most strongly against affiliation. Interest in ABSEL sponsoring a national gaming competition was greatest among those who filled the questionnaire out at the conference. Additionally non-attendees were the most likely riot to respond to the image questions.

 $\underline{\underline{Age.}}$ Older respondents expressed a more favorable image of $\overline{\underline{ABSEL}}$. Respondents over the age of 60 were

[,] b Very Good = 100; Very Bad = 0

more likely to have attended the first ABSEL meeting, to be interested in simulation gaming, to favor holding the conference in a college town, and to favor Minneapolis or Tulsa as a meeting site. Respondents under the age of 40 were more likely to like experiential exercises and prefer Denver as a meeting site.

TABLE 6 PROFILE OF PESPONDENTS

Λαe:					
-30	4.		50-5	0	14.5
30-39	35.		60+	,	2.7
40-49	27.		001		2.,
Academic	rank:				
Graduat	e stud e nt	0.0%	Asso	ciate	
Instruc	tor	2.7	Pr	ofessor	36.4
Assista	nt Professor	23.6	Full	Professo	or 25.5
			∩the	r	2.7
Number o	f other Confe	rences	attend	ed in the	:
past ve	ar:				
0	7.3%	4	16.4		
1 1	1.8	5	3.6		
2 2	8.2	6	1.8		
3 2	2.7				
Past ABS	EL Meetings a	t tended	:		
Past ABS		t tended		ita	29.1
	a City				29.1 48.2
Ok lahom	a City eton	24.5%	1/1 ch Denv		48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil	a City eton	24.5% 30.0 28.2	Mich Denv New	er Orleans	48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o	a City eton le	24.5% 30.0 28.2	Mich Denv New	er Orleans	48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o	a City eton le f past ABSEL	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4	Mich Denv New s atte	er Orleans	48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting	Wich Denv New s atte	er Orleans	48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4	Mich Denv New s atte	er Orleans	48.2
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2 3	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2 0.0 9.1	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4 5 6	Wich Denv New s atte 2.7 12.7 10.0	er Orleans inded:	48.2 60.0
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2 0.0 9.1	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4 5	Wich Denv New s atte 2.7 12.7 10.0	er Orleans inded:	48.2 60.0
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2 3	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2 0.0 9.1	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4 5 6	Wich Denv New s atte 2.7 12.7 10.0	er Orleans nded: Percent Respo	48.2 60.0
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2 3 Interest	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2 0.0 9.1 ted in:	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4 5 6	Wich Denv New s atte 2.7 12.7 10.0	er Orleans nded: Percent Respo	48.2 60.0
Oklahom Bloomin Knoxvil Number o 0 2 1 1 2 2 3 Interest	a City eton le f past ABSEL 7.3% 8.2 0.0 9.1 ted in:	24.5% 30.0 28.2 meeting 4 5 6	Wich Denv New s atte 2.7 12.7 10.0 est ore	Percent Respo	48.2 60.0

^aHigh = 100: Low = 0

Rank. Full professors were most likely to rate ABSEL less favorably in terms o the quality of research and the currency of the teaching technology. These professors were also the most strongly opposed to ABSEL's affiliation with sane other organization, they were likely to have attended the first four ABSEL meetings, were most interested in holding the conference in a college town, and were least interested in holding the conference in Denver or Phoenix. Associate professors were most opposed to moving ABSEL meetings to the fall while Assistant Professors expressed the most interest in experiential exercises.

Number of Other Conferences Attended. Respondents who had attended two or three other Conferences last year were more likely to rite the proceedings more favor-ably. Respondents attending two or more Conferences were more likely to express preferences for Phoenix, Denver, the Rockies area, and a college town as a meeting site, and those attending fewer Conferences were more likely to choose Boston as a meeting site.

Number of Past ABSEL Meetings Attended. Respondents who had attended more ABSEL meetings were more likely to rate ABSEL's enthusiasm, supportive atmosphere, quality of presentations, "style," and interdisciplinary nature more favorably. Those who had attended more than three previous meetings were much more interested in ABSEL sponsoring a national gaming competition and in simulation gaming in Those who had attended three or fewer meetings were more likely to be interested in experiential exercises and cases. Those who have attended more meetings were more interested in the Southwest, Louisville, and Tulsa as meeting sites and less interested in Washington D.C. u a meeting site.

CONCLUSIONS

To a large extent, the results of this survey are very consistent with the sane conclusions reached in the panel discussions held at the 1979 and 1980 Conferences. ABSEL has an image problem, but its members find that the meetings provide an informal and supportive atmosphere. The research revealed other conclusions of interest. There is little sentiment favoring affiliation with a larger organization, arid although travel money is a problem, spring meetings are still favored. ABSEL apparently started with a very strong emphasis on computer-based gaming but newer members are more Interested in experiential exercises. More attention should be directed towards improving membership promotion, the inclusion of practitioners, demonstrations and programs for spouses. Although the heightening of ABSEL's research quality presents a dilemma to the organization, current steps appear to be generally supportive of that end.

REFERENCES

[1] Goosen, K.R. 'An Analysis of ABSEL: Its Past Achievements and Future Prospects.' in Carl C. Neilson (ed.) New Horizons in Simulation Games and Experiential Learning, (Wichita, Kansas: Wichita State University, 1977), pp. 207-214.